

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MICHAEL POWERS,

Plaintiff,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

C21-0517 TSZ

## MINUTE ORDER

The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:

(1) Defendant's unopposed request for judicial notice, docket no. 71, is GRANTED, and the Court will consider Exhibit Q to the Declaration of Frank J. Anders, docket no. 71-2.

(2) Defendant's motion to strike, docket no. 89, is GRANTED in part, and DENIED in part, as follows:

(a) With regard to plaintiff's Notice of Errata RE Audio Recordings, docket no. 84, and Notice of Filing Paper or Physical Materials with the Clerk, docket no. 85 (concerning a disc labeled Exhibit 4A), defendant's motion to strike is GRANTED as to fourteen (14) audio files<sup>1</sup> not cited in plaintiff's briefs in

<sup>1</sup> (1) 12-11-44\_05-02-19\_D4s.wav; (2) 12-11-50\_05-02-19\_D3s.wav; (3) 12-15-30\_05-02-19\_D5s.wav; (4) 12-15-35\_05-02-19\_D3s.wav; (5) 12-16-41\_05-02-19\_D2s.wav; (6) 12-18-24\_05-02-19\_D2s.wav; (7) 12-23-02\_05-02-19\_D1s.wav; (8) 12-38-38\_05-02-19\_D7s.wav; (9) 12-38-52\_05-02-19\_D6s.wav; (10) 12-38-58\_05-02-19\_D3s.wav; (11) 12-39-15\_05-02-19\_D16s.wav; (12) 12-39-32\_05-02-19\_D7s.wav; (13) 12-39-41\_05-02-19\_D3s.wav; and (14) 19-W2776 Fire EMS Radio.wav. In connection with the pending motions, the Court will not consider the audio files listed in this footnote.

1 support of his motion for partial summary judgment, docket nos. 72 & 82, and  
 2 DENIED as to nine (9) audio files<sup>2</sup> cited in plaintiff's reply, docket no. 82.  
 3

4 (b) With regard to plaintiff's praecipe, docket no. 86, attached to which  
 5 is a revised version of plaintiff's response to defendant's motion to dismiss, which  
 6 contains the corrections outlined in the redlined version, docket no. 92-1, filed at  
 7 the Court's direction, defendant's motion to strike is DENIED. The changes are  
 8 not substantive and the Court will consider the revised response, docket no. 86.  
 9

10 (3) Plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment, docket no. 72, is DENIED  
 11 in part, and DEFERRED in part, as follows:  
 12

13 (a) With regard to whether the United States Coast Guard owed a duty  
 14 to plaintiff or breached any such duty, plaintiff's motion is DENIED. Genuine  
 15 disputes of material fact preclude summary judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a).  
 16

17 (b) With regard to whether defendant may, as a matter of law, pursue a  
 18 comparative negligence defense, plaintiff's motion is DENIED. "The relevant  
 19 negligence of the person rescued is . . . that which, whether occurring before or  
 20 after the accident, relates to the rescue and either worsens the victim's condition  
 21 or hinders the rescue." *Berg. v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc.*, 759 F.2d 1425, 1431 (9th  
 22 Cir. 1985) (emphasis added). Whether plaintiff was comparatively negligent in  
 23 failing to activate the radio in his boat as he set out in the dark during inclement  
 weather or in any other manner constitutes a factual question that must await trial.  
 24

25 (c) With regard to whether defendant can carry its burden of proving  
 26 that the "discretionary function" exception applies, plaintiff's motion is  
 27 DEFERRED, and will be decided in conjunction with defendant's motion to  
 28 dismiss, docket no. 69.  
 29

---

30 <sup>2</sup> (1) 12-11-54\_05-02-19\_D22s.wav; (2) 12-12-19\_05-02-19\_D10s.wav; (3) 12-16-03\_05-02-  
 31 19\_D6s.wav; (4) 12-16-12\_05-02-19\_D5s.wav; (5) 12-16-31\_05-02-19\_D7s.wav; (6) 12-20-  
 32 35\_05-02-19\_D3s.wav; (7) 12-21-55\_05-02-19\_D6s.wav; (8) 12-22-05\_05-02-19\_D18s.wav;  
 33 and (9) 12-22-31\_05-02-19\_D8s.wav. The Court has reviewed the transcripts of these audio  
 34 files, and they are consistent with the United States Coast Guard Case Study ("USCG Report")  
 35 dated September 18, 2019, filed by defendant as Exhibit H to the Declaration of Frank J. Anders  
 36 (docket no. 70-8). The Coast Guard presumably considered these recordings in preparing the  
 37 USCG Report, and defendant was not prejudiced by plaintiff's failure to cite these materials in  
 38 his opening brief in support of his motion for partial summary judgment.  
 39

1 (4) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of  
2 record.

3  
4 Dated this 18th day of January, 2023.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
Ravi Subramanian  
Clerk

s/Laurie Cuaresma  
Deputy Clerk